**TIBETAN REFUGEES IN NEPAL: FROM ESTABLISHED SETTLEMENTS TO FORCIBLE REPATRIATION**

Rachel Loutsmbo

*Georgetown Immigration Law Journal* Fall, 1996

Copyright © 1996 by the Georgetown Immigration Law Journal; Rachel Loutsmbo

**I. Introduction**

In 1949, the People's Liberation Army of China invaded and occupied Tibet. After massive uprisings against Chinese rule in March, 1959, the Dalai Lama and 60,000 refugees fled Tibet for Nepal and India (PPN1) Since 1959, over 190,000 refugees have escaped Tibet. Today, the rate continues at approximately 2,500 per year. The only viable route for the refugees to take is over the mountains from the Himalayas from Tibet into Nepal.

Today, Tibetans entering Nepal from Tibet without valid travel documents are considered illegal migrants by the Nepali government. Nepal does, however, permit the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) to process new Tibetan refugees and to send to India those considered to be "of concern to the High Commissioner." (PPN2)

Tibetan refugees are routinely harassed by Nepali border guards. There are also incidences of forcible repatriation of some refugees, which have been interpreted by some legal experts to be in violation of international law. (PPN3) The treatment of Tibetan refugees in Nepal has been directly linked to Nepal's relationship to the Chinese government. The forcible repatriation of Tibetans intensified in 1955 under the Marxism-Leninism government of Prime Minister Adhikari, which had good relations with the Chinese government.

**II. Background**

In the initial years following the Dalai Lama's escape, fifty-two refugees settled in India, Nepal, and Bhutan with the assistance of the host governments and the UNHCR. As of 1987, there were approximately 16,000 Tibetan refugees living in Nepal. (PPN4) While in recent decades the Nepali government has not permitted the Tibetan refugees to remain in Nepal, they have been provided with safe passage to India with the assistance of the UNHCR. From the late 1960s to the mid 1980s, the border between Nepal and Tibet was closed, although every year some Tibetan refugees still managed to cross into Nepal. The border was opened again in 1985, mostly to promote tourism, but was closed again after large-scale demonstrations against Chinese rule occurred in Tibet in 1989 and martial law was imposed. The border reopened in late 1989 and a steady flow of tourists, business persons, and refugees has traversed the border into Nepal ever since.

When the Dalai Lama arrived in India as a refugee in 1959, he established a Tibetan Government in Exile and placed a high priority on establishing religious, educational, and cultural institutions in India in order to provide an environment where Tibetan refugees could continue to learn about their national heritage. As a result of these well-established institutions, a large percentage of the new Tibetan refugees are Buddhist monks and nuns who hope to pursue their religious studies in India, as they are not permitted to freely practice their religion in Tibet. Similarly, many Tibetan parents send their children to India where they can be assured of a safe environment and an
education in Tibetan language and customs. [FN5]

III. Processing of Tibetan Refugees in Nepal

In Nepal the Tibetan refugees are interviewed and processed by the UNHCR, based in Kathmandu, after crossing the border into Nepal, new Tibetan refugees find their way to the UNHCR reception center on the outskirts of Kathmandu either on their own or with a hired guide. It is common for refugees to be intercepted by Nepali police who take them to the immigration office in Kathmandu where they are held until a UNHCR official is able to interview them.

The UNHCR provides the primary financial support for the new refugees. [FN6] The UNHCR's staff in Nepal interview each new refugee to determine if they fall within the category "of concern to the High Commissioner." [FN7] The UNHCR staff also offer protection to the refugees and act as formal liaisons between the Tibetans and the Nepali government. The UNHCR has a contract with the Tibetan Refugee Welfare Office, a non-governmental organization in Kathmandu, which carries out the day-to-day operations of receiving the new refugees. [FN8] It can take one to three weeks to conduct interviews, fill out the paperwork, and provide transportation for the new refugees to India. While waiting to be sent to India, the refugees are housed in dorms and provided with meals and basic medical care. [FN9]

About 97 percent of the new Tibetan refugees who arrive at the UNHCR reception center in Nepal are deemed "of concern" by UNHCR interviewers and are therefore eligible to receive funds for their bus fare to India. [FN20] Once in India, the majority of the new refugees travel to Dharamsala to see the Dalai Lama, after which they are provided with housing and job placement assistance by the Central Tibetan Administration of the Tibetan Government in Exile.

IV. Repatriation and Mutilation of New Refugees

Not all refugees who cross the border from Tibet to Nepal make it into UNHCR custody, and those that do are often met with severe harassment by Nepali border guards. Nepali guards at the border and along the main checkpoints leading to Kathmandu report extensive abuses from the refugees. [FN11] Often by the time the new refugees arrive in Nepal all of their money and/or belongings have been confiscated. [FN12] Some Tibetans have been beaten by border guards, including one Tibetan man who was kicked and beaten with rifle butts by a dozen soldiers in February, 1985. [FN12] In August, 1995 Nepali police fired at a group of ten refugees, injuring three. [FN14] Some refugees have been killed by border guards, including two in October, 1995. [FN15]

Repatriation of Tibetan refugees is also an ongoing phenomenon, with an increase of such incidents in 1995. [FN14] A congressional delegation that traveled to Nepal in April, 1994 interviewed recent arrivals and "heard accounts of Nepali border guards robbing and assaulting refugees, or herding them over to Chinese border guards." [FN17] An earlier 1994 delegation in 1992 reported that an estimated 100 Tibetan refugees were forcibly repatriated in 1991 alone. [FN18]

As of late 1995, the number of repatriations for the year far exceeded that of previous years. Between April 22 and July 25, 1995 alone there were over 600 Tibetans repatriated, although figures may be as high as 450. [FN19] This figure includes three prominent dissidents who had recently been freed from prison in Lhasa and who were reportedly detained immediately upon delivery to Chinese authorities [FN20]. At the same time there has been an increase in security patrols in the area where Nepali authorities suspect refugees may be hiding. These actions have been protested by the governments of the U.S. and Australia, as well as by the UNHCR. [FN21]

Mutilation and detention by Chinese authorities of those who have been forcibly repatriated has
been reported. One refugee who managed to escape after having been handed over to Chinese authorities by Nepali border guards said that the repatriated refugees were handcuffed together and placed in jail before being moved to prisons inland. (FN22) Under Chinese law, people caught crossing "the border without permission face a sentence of up to one year in prison, or many more if accused of trying to contact the Dalai Lama and his government." (FN20)

V. The Nepali Government and its Relationship with China

Over the past several years there has been considerable change and instability in the Nepali government. Formerly ruled by an absolute monarchy, in 1990 the King liberalized political parties and established an interim government. Now, Nepal is designated a constitutional monarchy with a parliamentary form of government. (FN24)

On September 10, 1995, Prime Minister Man Mahat Adhikari of Nepal was forced to resign as a result of a vote of no-confidence in the Parliament. His communist party, the Unified Marxist-Leninist party, had been in power for only nine months. (FN25) Following the collapse of Adhikari's government, King Birendra appointed a central Prime Minister, Sher Bahadur Deuba of the Nepali Congress party, who has since formed a coalition government. This new government has "promised a program of human rights, liberal economic policies and a foreign policy -911 that gives equal weight and friendship to Nepal's gigantic neighbors, China and India." (FN30)

Nepal has always been sensitive to the size and strength of both India and China, and the Tibetan community in Nepal has long been restricted from coordinating any perceived political activity against the Chinese government. In the 1960s and early 1970s, the CIA funded and trained Tibetan guerrillas to fight against Chinese rule in Tibet. The guerrillas were based in Mustang, a remote area in Nepal. For over twelve years the Nepali government did nothing to stop these activities. After the U.S. began its rapprochement with China in 1972 and the Nepali government became more confident that the Chinese were not interested in mounting an attack, Nepal forced the guerrillas to stop their activities. (FN27)

In recent years, China has contributed significantly to the Nepali economy and relations have steadily improved. This has been evidenced clearly in expanding contacts between the two countries in recent years. In 1989, Chinese Premier Li Peng visited Nepal, causing concern in India for "Nepal's increasingly friendly relations with China." (FN28) In March, 1997, then Nepal Prime Minister Koirala visited Beijing and in 1995, he visited Tibet, the first visit by a Nepali premier to Tibet since the 1950s. (FN29) The recently deceased Prime Minister Adhikari visited Beijing in April, 1999, hoping to increase exports to China and boost tourism with Tibet. (FN30)

When democracy swept through Nepal in the early 1990s and a constitution was developed, there was hope that the Tibetan community would be allowed more open political activity. It became clear that this was not the case as early as February, 1993 when a visit by the Dalai Lama to Nepal was canceled following "Chinese protests made to the Nepalese Government against the proposed visit." (FN31) When he took power, Prime Minister Adhikari took action to limit political activities by Tibetans in Nepal. (FN27) This came shortly after Mayor-General Zheng Geqiang of China asked the Nepali government not to allow "anti-China activities" by Tibetan refugees in Nepal. (FN32) On August 7, 1955, Genezs Pressed Bhattari, Nepal's Director of Immigration told Reuters that "China wants Nepal to restrict undesirable activities of Tibetans in *916 Nepal." (FN28)

The wave of repatriations in 1995 began within days of Nepal's Prime Minister Adhikari's first official visit to Beijing, although the Nepali government has denied consistently that there is any formal policy of forcible repatriation. The government has said that these actions are taken independently by corrupt border guards who hope to make a profit by turning over Tibetan refugees...
to Chinese authority. It has been noted, however, that few attempts have been made by the Nepali government to warn, punish, or train guards who do sell Tibetan refugees back to Tibet against their will.

VI. Nepal’s Obligations to Refugees Under International Law

The right of refugees not to be returned to a country where their lives or freedom would be threatened is enshrined in Article 33 of the 1951 Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees and its 1967 Protocol, as well as in various other United Nations documents including Article 2 of the Declaration on Territorial Asylum. (FN32) In particular, Article 33 prohibits the return of any refugee “(a) to territories where [a refugee’s] life or freedom would be threatened on account of his (or her) race, religion, nationality, membership of a particular social group or political opinion.” (FN33) Furthermore, the United Nations General Assembly in several resolutions, and the UNHCR in its own guidelines, have concluded that repatriation should only occur if voluntary. (FN37)

The Chinese government has committed egregious violations of the fundamental human rights of the Tibetan people since it invaded Tibet in 1949. Over one million Tibetans have died as a direct result of the occupation and over 6,000 monasteries and religious institutions, the principle centers for education and religious practice, have been destroyed. (FN38) These violations have been condemned in several United Nations General Assembly resolutions. (FN39)

Given the Chinese government’s record of human rights violations against the Tibetan people, including those who have been caught fleeing Tibet, the repatriation of Tibetan refugees from Nepal puts individuals at great risk of detention and mistreatment by the Chinese authorities.

“(17 Nepal is not a signatory to the 1951 Refugee Convention or its 1967 Protocol, nor does it have any domestic legalization relating to the protection of refugees. (FN40) Regardless of ratification, however, all governments are bound to respect the fundamental human rights of refugees as well as those aspects of refugee, humanitarian and human rights law which have achieved the status of customary international law.” (FN41) It is argued by some legal experts that not engaging in forcible repatriation “as a moral obligation and has developed into a legal obligation derived from existing rules of conventional and customary international law.” (FN42) According to this perspective, therefore, the Nepali government should discontinue the repatriation of Tibetan refugees as it puts them in immediate danger and is in violation of customary international law.

VII. Conclusion

The Tibetan community has relied on the Nepali government to allow new refugees to pass safely through the country to India. In 1989, under the communist government of Prime Minister Adhikari, there was a marked increase in forcible repatriations of Tibetan refugees. Such repatriation violates international customary law and is a violation of the fundamental rights of the Tibetan refugees seeking asylum from persecution in Tibet (FN43).

The new coalition government of Prime Minister Deuba has been in office for too short a time to determine whether this pattern of repatriation will continue. There are already indications, however, that Nepal has reverted to its practice of routinely handling over new refugees to the UNHCR for status determination and eventual transfer to India.
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